Interpretation of Statutes

Amita Dhanda Professor Emerita NALSAR Hyderabad

Scheme of Presentation

Interpretation is a composite process

Institutional Structures and Interpretation Ideological Approaches and Interpretation The Technical Rules of Interpretation Precedents and Interpretation

What does all this mean to your and my work?

Interpretation is a composite process

Rules of interpretation are inextricably woven into how a system functions

- Rules cannot be understood as standalones
- A whole range of factors influence their operation
- And they influence each other
- No one way of doing things
- There is flexibility
- Flexibility comes from skills of navigation

Institutional Structures and Interpretation

• The relationship between the legislature, executive and the judiciary

There is a hierarchical relationship

There is separation of powers

It is not a mechanical separation

Ideological Approaches and Interpretation

- How are the judicial and legislative roles envisaged?
 - -Essentialist
 - Metademocratic

In Metademocratic

- Preservationist
- Complementarian
- -Reconstructionist

Technical Rules and Interpretation

- Canons of Construction
 - literal
 - -mischief
 - -golden
- Nature of Statute
 - Constitution
 - Penal / Tax
 - Welfare
- Presumptions / Maxims

Precedents and Interpretation

 Art 141 of the Constitution makes the law pronounced by the Supreme Court binding on all courts in the country

 Supreme Court has held that it is not bound by its own decisions so reversal is possible

Eclectic way of using theory of precedents

Yet some decisions have more binding value than others

What does All this Mean to Us?

• Shows interpretation is an evolving exercise

The techniques available to judges are also available to us

May not be authoritative but holds ground till challenged

- Introduces nuance into the whole process of providing reasons
- Offers possibilities of finality at the first levels of decision-making